

ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

Comprehensive Report on Unemployment, Underemployment, Mobility Restrictions, and the Hidden Skilled Workforce in U.S. Skilled Trades

Effects on Job Opportunities, Workforce Dynamics, and Economic Impact

INTRODUCTION

As of 2026, skilled trades in the United States represent a vital and rapidly evolving sector of the economy and a paradoxical landscape: record-breaking wage potential and high demand set against systemic labor mismatches and regulatory hurdles. While closing the "trades gap" could unlock \$325.6 billion in national GDP, the cost of inaction is steep, with projected economic losses exceeding \$500 billion annually by 2030.

Skilled trades are defined by the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) and the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) as occupations requiring specialized technical knowledge and often obtained through pathways other than a four-year degree, these roles are currently facing a critical labor shortage and a technological transformation.

I. Classification and Sectors

The DOL and BLS organize skilled trades into five primary clusters. To track specific data, the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes are used to categorize these industries: Construction & Building Trades, Manufacturing & Industrial Trades, Transportation & Maintenance Trades, Energy & Emerging Trades, and Service & Healthcare Trades. These include specific roles with NAICS codes as outlined, employing over 15 million workers and supporting \$2.5 trillion in economic output annually.

Construction & Building Trades

Electricians	238210 (Electrical Contractors and Other Wiring Installation Contractors)
Plumbers & Pipefitters	238220 (Plumbing, Heating, and Air-Conditioning Contractors)
Carpenters	238350 (Finish Carpentry Contractors) or 238130 (Framing Contractors)
HVAC Technicians	238220 (Shared with Plumbing; specific to mechanical systems)
Masons	238140 (Masonry Contractors)
Elevator Mechanics	238290 (Other Building Equipment Contractors)

Manufacturing & Industrial Trades

Welders	332312 (Fabricated Structural Metal Manufacturing) or 811310 (Commercial/Industrial Machinery Repair)
Machinists	332710 (Machine Shops)
Millwrights	238290 (Other Building Equipment Contractors—specifically for industrial installation)
Tool & Die Makers	333514 (Special Die and Tool, Die Set, Jig, and Fixture Manufacturing)

Transportation & Maintenance Trades

Automotive Technicians	811111 (General Automotive Repair)
Diesel Mechanics	811111 (Automotive Repair) or 484110 (General Freight Trucking, Internal Maintenance)

Aircraft Mechanics	488190 (Other Support Activities for Air Transportation)
Heavy Equipment Operators	238910 (Site Preparation Contractors)

Energy & Emerging Trades

Linemen	237130 (Power and Communication Line and Related Structures Construction)
Wind Turbine Technicians	811310 (Commercial and Industrial Machinery Repair and Maintenance)
Solar Panel Installers	238160 (Roofing Contractors) or 238210 (Electrical Contractors)

Service and Health Care Trades

LPNs & Medical Technicians	621399 (Offices of All Other Miscellaneous Health Practitioners)
Dental Hygienists	621210 (Offices of Dentists)
Cosmetologists & Barbers	812112 (Beauty Salons) or 812111 (Barber Shops)
Culinary Professionals	722310 (Food Service Contractors) or 722511 (Full-Service Restaurants)

II. Labor Market Dynamics: Shortages vs. Surpluses

The workforce is currently experiencing a "mismatch" where workers in declining regions remain underutilized while high-growth areas face critical vacancies. Unemployment Benchmarks as of January 2026 include:

- Construction: 6.9% (reflecting seasonal and regional fluctuations).
- Manufacturing: 3.5%
- Healthcare Trades: 1.8% – 3.2% (critical lows for LPNs and Dental Hygienists).
- Overall Skilled Trades: Averaging 4.0% – 5.0%.

The Mobility Barrier: Occupational licensing requirements are a significant drag on the economy, reducing interstate migration by up to 36%. This prevents workers from moving to high-growth states; for example, Florida is seeing a 13.4% growth in electricians compared to California's 9.6%.

III. The "STEM-to-Trade" Pipeline

The trades have shifted from "fallback plans" to lucrative, AI-resilient career paths. Despite these gains, the "underemployment" of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) graduates is increasingly pushing overqualified individuals into the trades, seeking the stability that hands-on roles provide in an automated world.

Trade Category	Economic Outlook (End of 2026)
Core Trades (Electricians/Plumbers)	Median wages: \$65,000 – \$85,000
Top-Tier (Elevator/Commercial HVAC)	Earnings frequently exceed \$100,000+
High-Growth (Wind Technicians)	Projected 50% growth in demand

A notable shift in 2026 is the rising trend of underemployment in STEM. Many graduates from traditional science and technology programs are finding their entry-level prospects squeezed by automation. Displaced STEM workers are increasingly pivoting into "High-Tech Trades" like Robotics Maintenance and Green Energy Infrastructure, where their theoretical background complements the high demand for hands-on technical mastery.

IV. Quantitative Forecast: The 2030 Cliff

If current trends in labor mobility and training are not addressed, the U.S. faces a significant "vacancy tax" by the end of the decade spanning a projected 2.5 million unfilled positions by 2030 across all trade categories, \$300 billion in lost wages for American workers, and \$100 billion in reduced tax revenue for local and federal governments. The broader economy remains in flux. In January 2026, the U.S. saw a modest job growth of 130,000 with a national unemployment rate of 4.1%.



Source: <https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/UNRATE#>

While healthcare added 82,000 roles, sectors like the federal government (-34,000) and financial activities (-22,000) saw contractions, further highlighting the trades as a more stable alternative for the modern worker. The current landscape of the American workforce is facing massive localized surpluses in declining industrial hubs contrasted against staggering national shortages in "future-proof" roles. AI is reshaping the white-collar world and the physical reliability of the trades has become a primary economic lifeboat.

While manufacturing regions in the Rust Belt and similar corridors face labor surpluses, the national demand for specialized technicians has reached critical levels. This gap is being partially bridged by immigrants and "traveling" tradespeople, though these groups often face significant regulatory and social resistance. The following trades represent the highest areas of immediate need and career stability: Welders (currently facing a massive deficit of 584,000 total openings), Licensed Practical Nurses (193,100 annual openings required to sustain healthcare infrastructure), Electricians (80,000 new positions needed every year to support grid modernization), Wind Turbine Technicians (The gold rush of the energy sector, seeing a 50% growth rate, and the fastest of any trade).

V. Workforce Dynamics & Geographic Hurdles

The primary challenge remains mobility. While energy sectors are booming in the West and South, skilled workers in declining manufacturing regions often lack the financial or regulatory means (such as universal license recognition) to relocate. This creates pockets of poverty in the midst of a national labor gold mine.

Challenges include unemployment (workers seeking jobs), underemployment (underutilized skills or part-time work), and mobility restrictions (geographic, financial, regulatory barriers). This leads to mismatches where workers in surplus regions remain idle while shortages persist in growth areas.

1.0 SECTOR-SPECIFIC UNEMPLOYMENT ANALYSIS

The U.S. skilled trades landscape is characterized by a stark divergence between sectors. While traditional fields like Construction grapple with seasonal volatility and macroeconomic pressures, future-proof sectors, specifically Energy and Healthcare, are operating in a state of chronic labor exhaustion. This data highlights a shifting economy where manual technical expertise remains the most resilient hedge against both AI integration and broader market fluctuations. The following table summarizes the current unemployment dynamics across the five primary Department of Labor trade clusters:

Trade Cluster	Unemployment Rate	Trend & Driver
Construction & Building	6.90%	Upward Push: Seasonal winter slowdowns combined with higher borrowing costs delaying new starts.
Manufacturing & Industrial	3.50%	Steady: Heavy focus on maintenance and "uptime" keeps skilled machinists employed despite flat production growth.
Transportation & Maintenance	4.00%	Rising: Logistics automation and post-holiday capacity adjustments led to a 0.8% increase YoY.
Energy & Emerging	< 3.0%	Critical Shortage: Infrastructure Act projects and a 50% growth in wind tech create a "zero-slack" environment.
Service & Healthcare	1.8% – 3.2%	Record Lows: LPNs and Dental Hygienists remain the most supply-constrained roles in the US economy.

The Construction Anomaly: Despite a 6.9% unemployment rate in January 2026, the sector actually added 33,000 jobs that same month. This suggests a churn where residential construction is shedding workers due to market cooling, but non-residential specialty trade contractors (electricians,

HVAC, plumbing) are being immediately absorbed into large-scale infrastructure and data center projects.

Manufacturing's Shift to Reliability: While manufacturing payrolls have flattened, the unemployment rate remains low at 3.5%. This is driven by a pivot in hiring strategy: companies are no longer hiring for scale but for reliability. Positions for CNC machinists, programmers, and maintenance technicians are being prioritized to ensure that high-cost automated systems do not face downtime.

Transportation’s Automation Pressure: The rise to 4.0% unemployment in transportation (up from 3.6% in 2025) reflects a structural shift. While demand for drivers remains high, warehousing and storage shed 6,100 jobs in early 2026 as major retailers (Amazon, Nike) aggressively integrated robotics into their logistics chains.

The Mobility Opportunity: The gap between Construction (6.9%) and Energy (< 3.0%) suggests a significant internal mismatch. Workers in stagnant residential building sectors have a high-value opportunity to pivot toward green energy infrastructure where labor demand is absolute.

Healthcare’s Critical Floor: With rates as low as 1.8%, Service and Healthcare trades have hit a physical floor. This sector is no longer just growing; it is operating in a state of chronic deficit that continues to drive aggressive wage increases.

I. Sector-Specific Wage Analysis: Skilled Trades

Skilled trades are currently outperforming the broader job stayer average (2.5%) with an annual wage growth rate of 3.7%–4.5%. This acceleration is driven by three macroeconomic pressures: the Retirement Cliff, critical AI infrastructure expansion, and a persistent technical labor deficit.

The wage landscape for skilled trades reflects a significant shift toward premium compensation for technical mastery. As traditional white-collar roles face pressure from automation, the trades are seeing aggressive wage growth, with many sectors approaching or exceeding the six-figure mark through specialized niches.

Sector Cluster	Low Median	High Median	Notable Growth Driver
Construction	\$62,000	\$99,000	High ceiling driven by specialty roles such as Elevator Mechanics. 20% of workforce nearing retirement
Energy	\$70,000	\$90,000	AI data center & infrastructure needs
Manufacturing	\$60,000	\$85,000	High wage pressures; Automation maintenance requirements
Transportation	\$58,000	\$75,000	Scarcity-driven gains; 10.4% wage increase in late 2025
Service & Healthcare	\$55,000	\$75,000	Highest overall job volume growth

Highest Earning Potential: The Construction & Building cluster offers the highest individual wage ceiling at \$99,000. This is driven by an aging workforce where 20% of laborers are over 55, creating a scarcity premium for master-level tradespeople.

Most Lucrative Entry Point: The Energy & Emerging cluster provides the strongest starting potential with a median floor of \$70,000. Demand for AI data center power and grid modernization led to 5% wage spikes in single quarters.

Rapid Wage Correction: The Transportation & Maintenance sector saw the most aggressive short-term movement, with technician wages surging 10.4% as firms competed for a dwindling pool of diesel and automotive specialists.

Employment Stability: While offering a lower median ceiling (\$75,000), the Service & Healthcare cluster remains the economy's high-volume engine, adding 82,000 jobs in January 2026 alone.

Structural Advantage: Across all clusters, the Retirement Cliff is creating a permanent scarcity premium. As the supply of journey-level talent remains low, wages are expected to remain sticky and resistant to broader economic cooling.

II. Strategic Recommendations for Workforce & Economic Growth

Economic stakeholders must capitalize on the Energy and Construction clusters as primary catalysts for high-value workforce development. The Energy sector, buoyed by AI data center expansion and infrastructure modernization, offers a superior high-floor entry point with a \$70,000 median wage, making it a critical recruitment lever for attracting new talent. Simultaneously, policy-makers and executives must mitigate the Retirement Cliff in Construction—where 20% of the workforce is aged 55 or older—by incentivizing fast-track apprenticeships. Utilizing the sector's \$99,000 wage ceiling as a strategic retention tool is essential to ensuring a seamless transfer of mastery before veteran tradespeople exit the labor pool.

To maintain industrial competitiveness, strategic investment must be directed toward technical upskilling and the mitigation of underemployment friction. In Manufacturing, policy should prioritize automation cross-training to justify and sustain \$85,000 median wages, ensuring the workforce evolves alongside robotic integration. In the Transportation sector, the recent 10.4% wage surge signals a critical scarcity that requires executive action through aggressive sign-on incentives and policy-driven relocation assistance to overcome housing-related mobility barriers. Finally, while the Service and Healthcare trades offer a lower median ceiling (\$75,000), they remain the economy's indispensable volume engine; maintaining this sector's stability is vital for providing the broad employment base upon which more specialized industrial trades depend.

II. Regional Variations across States

The U.S. labor market in early 2026 is characterized by a "low-hire, low-fire" stasis, where the national unemployment rate has stabilized at 4.1%. However, this headline figure masks significant geographic disparities. While agricultural and high-plains states like South Dakota enjoy near-total employment, urban and tech-heavy hubs, specifically the District of Columbia and California,

continue to face structural headwinds. This report examines the states at both ends of the spectrum and the underlying economic drivers of these trends.

High-Unemployment Jurisdictions

The regions with the highest unemployment are grappling with localized economic shifts and share a common thread of over-reliance on sectors currently undergoing contraction, such as federal government employment and high-tech services.

State	Unemployment Rate	Annual Change (% points)	Economic Driver
DC	6.20%	+0.9	Downsizing of federal payrolls and deferred resignation programs
Puerto Rico	5.70%	+0.3	Ongoing recovery efforts and energy grid instability impacting local industry
California	5.60%	+0.1	Tech sector stagnation and layoffs as firms shift capital from labor to AI infrastructure
Nevada	5.30%	-0.4	Reliance on leisure/hospitality; currently stabilizing after post-pandemic surges.
New Jersey	5.20%	+0.6	Underemployment in port/transportation hubs due to high housing and mobility costs
Oregon	5.20%	+1.0	Slowdowns in semiconductor and wood product exports affecting rural employment.

The District of Columbia remains the national outlier with the highest unemployment rate. This is largely attributed to a significant contraction in federal payrolls. Since October 2024, federal employment has declined by approximately 10.9% (327,000 jobs), as deferred resignation programs and executive restructuring took effect. Unlike states with diversified private sectors, D.C.’s economy is uniquely sensitive to federal budget shifts and civil service downsizing.

California’s elevated rate is driven by a stagnation in sectors that previously fueled the state’s growth: technology, entertainment, and logistics. Major tech firms in the state have shifted capital toward AI infrastructure, often resulting in white-collar layoffs and leaner hiring profiles. Growth in the state has trailed the national average throughout 2025, leaving it with less momentum to absorb displaced workers.

Nevada and New Jersey remain vulnerable to fluctuations in consumer spending. Nevada’s reliance on leisure and hospitality, a sector that grew by only 1,000 jobs nationally in early 2026, highlights its exposure to slowing discretionary income.

Low-Unemployment Jurisdictions

In stark contrast to the urban and federal hubs, the regions with the lowest unemployment rates are characterized by tight labor markets, high labor force participation, and industries that are currently insulated from the “AI Pivot” or federal downsizing.

State	Unemployment Rate	Annual Change (% points)	Economic Driver
South Dakota	2.00%	+0.2	Diversified Ag-tech and financial services
Hawaii	2.50%	-0.5	Robust tourism recovery and military presence
Vermont	2.50%	0.0	High labor force participation / small population
North Dakota	2.60%	+0.1	Energy sector stability (Oil/Gas)
Alabama	2.80%	-0.5	Industrial/Manufacturing Expansion

South Dakota and North Dakota maintain their position at the top of the employment rankings. These states are benefiting from a "commodities boom" where global demand for energy and food keeps local labor supply perpetually below demand.

Alabama's decrease of 0.5 percentage points highlights a successful industrial pivot. As manufacturing and industrial trades see wage increases up to \$85,000, Alabama has effectively absorbed workers into its expanding automotive and aerospace corridors.

In states like Vermont and South Dakota, the "low-hire" stasis is a result of having virtually no remaining "sideline" labor. Growth in these states is now limited by people, not by capital, leading to intense competition between the Construction and Service sectors for the same pool of workers.

Hawaii and Alabama saw the most significant annual improvements. Hawaii's recovery signals the final stabilization of the leisure and hospitality sector, which, despite lower wage ceilings compared to the trades, provides massive job volume.

III. Low- and High-Jurisdiction Unemployment Comparison

The 2026 labor market exhibits a sharp geographic divide: high-unemployment jurisdictions like the District of Columbia and California face structural risks from AI-driven displacement and federal downsizing, resulting in high-end wage growth that remains inaccessible to a stagnant general workforce. In contrast, low-unemployment states such as South Dakota and Alabama are primarily constrained by labor shortages and capacity limits, which have triggered broad-based wage gains across nearly all trade sectors.

To bridge this gap, policy directives in high-rate areas must prioritize massive cross-sector retraining to move workers out of contracting industries, while low-rate regions require aggressive investment in housing and infrastructure to attract the external talent needed to sustain their industrial and agricultural expansions.

Feature	High-Unemployment States (e.g., DC, CA)	Low-Unemployment States (e.g., SD, AL)
Primary Risk	Structural displacement (AI, Fed cuts)	Labor shortages / Capacity limits

Wage Trend	High-end growth, but limited access	Broad-based gains across all trades
Policy Need	Massive cross-sector retraining	Housing/Infrastructure to attract workers

IV. Strategic Implications

Urban vs. Rural Divergence: Policy-makers must recognize that one-size-fits-all federal interest rate adjustments may not address the specific causes of unemployment in high-rate zones like D.C. or California, where the issues are industry-specific (Government/Tech) rather than purely cyclical.

Addressing Underemployment Friction: Even in low-unemployment states like Vermont and South Dakota, employers report a low-hire stasis. While people have jobs, they are often hugging their current roles due to high housing costs in high-growth areas, limiting geographic mobility.

The Manufacturing/Construction Resilience: As noted in our previous wage analysis, the Construction sector added 33,000 jobs in January 2026. States that pivot their workforce development toward these high-scarcity trades may see their unemployment rates fall faster than those relying on traditional white-collar services.

V. Skilled Trade Analysis: Effects on Job Opportunities

Several states are leading the way in skilled trades, with Texas and other southern states showing high numbers of job opportunities for tradespeople. States like Tennessee and Indiana, have also made major investments in infrastructure and manufacturing, increasing the demand for skilled workers.

Top States for Skilled Trades Density and Industrial Demand: The demand for skilled trades has shifted toward states with aggressive site readiness programs and massive investments in advanced manufacturing.

- Texas: Remains the national leader in available industrial sites. Its dominance is driven by mega-projects in semiconductor fabrication and EV production, alongside massive infrastructure expansions in ports and energy.
- The "Battery Belt" (Midwest & South): States like Georgia, Oklahoma, South Carolina, and Indiana are experiencing a localized economic boom. Georgia, in particular, has been ranked the #1 state for business for 12 consecutive years, largely due to its EV/battery hubs and speed of permitting.
- Tennessee & Ohio: These states are recognized for proactive investment in industrial infrastructure. Ohio has seen one of the largest absolute gains in construction jobs (approx. 17,000) due to the semiconductor boom and utility upgrades.

VI. High-Growth Cities for Skilled Trades

The concentration of licensed professionals per capita is a key metric for identifying Trade Hubs where the workforce is most dense and lucrative.

City	Key Metric	Driver of Growth
------	------------	------------------

Virginia Beach, VA	791 per 100k residents	Highest concentration of licensed professionals in the U.S.
Omaha, NE	756 per 100k residents	Top-ranked city for overall workforce density and stability.
Phoenix, AZ	679 per 100k residents	8.4% of the total workforce is in construction; highest YOY growth.
Nashville, TN	7.2% job growth	Strongest construction job growth rate among major metros.

V. Key Trends Shaping the 2026 Landscape

The Industrial Rebound: The "Battery Belt" is no longer a theoretical concept; it is the primary engine of the U.S. industrial rebound. Megaprojects announced between 2022 and 2024 are hitting peak construction activity in 2026, creating a simultaneous surge in demand for specialized industrial trades (pipefitters, millwrights, and controls technicians).

Chronic Workforce Shortages: For the 7th consecutive year, skilled trades remain the hardest jobs to fill. Projections for 2026 indicate that the sector must attract 349,000 net new workers just to offset the wave of retiring Master technicians.

Demographic Evolution (Women in Construction): A significant cultural shift is occurring as the industry seeks to widen its talent pool. In late 2025, women reached a record 14.5% of the total construction workforce. While many women occupy management roles, there was a 27% jump in women entering "Production, Transportation, and Material Moving" roles in 2025, signaling a move from the office to the field.

The Electrification Surge: Electricians are the most in-demand trade nationwide, particularly in the Pacific Northwest and the South, where they are required for both new EV infrastructure and the complex wiring of automated smart factories.

The Apprenticeship Revolution: The school-to-trade pipeline is strongest in the Midwest and Mountain West. Utah currently serves as a national model for apprenticeship expansion, with a record number of active programs and a \$65 million investment in career and technical education (CTE). Iowa successfully integrated apprenticeship data into high school graduation metrics, showing that electrician, nursing assistant, and welder programs are the most common paths for new graduates.

III. Regional Variance in U.S. Metropolitan Unemployment

The BLS data reveals a stark geographic and structural bifurcation in the United States labor market. While the national non-seasonally adjusted unemployment rate sits at 4.1%, this figure masks extreme local variations ranging from 1.9% to 18.6%. This nearly tenfold difference between the highest and lowest performing Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) suggests that national averages are increasingly insufficient for assessing localized economic health. The data underscores a two-speed economy: a highly efficient, labor-constrained manufacturing and defense corridor in the

Southeast, contrasted against high-volatility, agriculturally dependent zones in the West and Southwest. Key findings reveal:

The dominance of Alabama in the top-tier rankings. Four of the five lowest unemployment rates in the nation are located within Alabama MSAs. Decatur and Huntsville (1.9%) represent the pinnacle of labor tightness. Huntsville's performance is likely bolstered by sustained federal investment in aerospace and defense, creating a recession-proof labor ecosystem. Rates at or near 2% typically indicate frictional unemployment only, where the only people unemployed are those currently transitioning between roles.

Conversely, the MSAs with the highest unemployment rates are concentrated in California's Central Valley and the Arizona border region. El Centro, CA (18.6%) is an outlier and maintains a rate more than four times the national average. High rates in Yuma, AZ (12.4%) and Visalia, CA (10.2%) reflect the non-seasonally adjusted nature of the data, highlighting the extreme vulnerability of regions dependent on harvest cycles and irrigation-based economies.

Outside of the Alabama cluster, Urban Honolulu (2.1%) stands as the only non-mainland MSA in the top five. This suggests a robust recovery of the Pacific tourism and service sectors, paired with the structural labor supply constraints inherent to island economies.

III. Trade Employment Projections

Based on the latest U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) 2024–2034 employment projections, the job market is shifting. Growth is heavily concentrated in renewable energy and healthcare for an aging population, while traditional sectors like manufacturing and retail face headwinds from automation. Summary of the projections across the specific trade categories reflect:

Energy & Emerging Trades: This is the fastest-growing sector in the entire U.S. economy. As the nation shifts toward renewable energy and handles the massive power demands of AI data centers, specialized technicians are in extreme demand.

- Wind Turbine Service Technicians: Projected to grow by 50%, the highest rate of any occupation.
- Solar Photovoltaic Installers: Projected to grow by 42%.
- Key Drivers: Federal incentives for green energy, falling costs of solar/wind technology, and the need for new infrastructure to support electric vehicles (EVs).

Service & Healthcare Trades: This category will add the largest volume of new jobs over the next decade, driven primarily by the Silver Tsunami (the aging Baby Boomer generation).

- Home Health and Personal Care Aides: Projected to add over 739,000 new jobs—the most of any single occupation.
- Advanced Roles: Nurse Practitioners are growing at 40%, while Medical Assistants and Physical Therapist Assistants also show much-faster-than-average growth.
- Mental Health: Substance abuse and mental health counselors are seeing a 17% increase due to expanded insurance coverage and public awareness.

Construction & Building Trades: While the overall sector growth is moderate (4.4%), it remains a stable source of employment with significant replacement needs as older workers retire.

- Electricians: Growing faster than most other trades (9%) due to the wiring needs of smart homes, EV charging stations, and renewable energy grids.
- Infrastructure: Construction for data centers and the expansion of the electrical grid are the primary growth engines within this group.

Transportation & Maintenance Trades: This sector is being reshaped by the growth of e-commerce and the maintenance of increasingly complex machinery.

- Logistics & Warehousing: Projected to grow by 3.0%. While e-commerce supports jobs for laborers and freight movers, automation is beginning to limit growth in some warehouse roles.
- Industrial Machinery Mechanics: A bright spot in maintenance, projected to grow 16% as factories adopt more sophisticated robotic systems that require constant repair.
- Trucking: Heavy and tractor-trailer truck drivers remain in high demand due to retirement rates, despite long-term discussions about autonomous vehicles.

Manufacturing & Industrial Trades: This sector faces the most significant challenges from technology and automation.

- Overall Outlook: Employment in production occupations is expected to decline or show very little growth (-0.1%)
- Exceptions: Other electrical equipment and component manufacturing (specifically battery production for EVs) is a rare growth area, projected to add about 48,000 jobs.
- Automation Impact: AI and robotics continue to increase productivity, meaning fewer human workers are needed to produce the same volume of goods.

Occupational Group	Projected Growth Rate	Primary Driver
Energy Trades	40% – 50%	Green energy transition & AI power needs
Healthcare Support	12.40%	Aging population & chronic condition care
Construction	4.40%	Infrastructure & renewable energy builds
Transportation	3.00%	E-commerce & parcel delivery
Manufacturing	-0.1% (Decline)	Automation & increased productivity

2.0 MOBILITY RESTRICTIONS AND IMPACTS

Mobility restrictions in the physical and legal forms act as a bottleneck that artificially sustains underemployment. These barriers prevent certified, high-value skilled trades workers (e.g., electricians, welders, HVAC technicians, plumbers) from relocating to high-demand regions where vacancies pay 20–50% more, trapping them in low-wage, mismatched roles in retail, gig work, or declining local industries. The result is a persistent labor mismatch: national vacancies exceed 1.4 million across core trades by 2030, yet millions of underemployed tradespeople remain stranded. This bottleneck artificially inflates underemployment rates (estimated 4–6% for vocational graduates) while suppressing overall wage growth and economic output.

I. Legal Restrictions: Regulatory and Contractual Anchors

Occupational licensing is the most documented legal friction. State-specific requirements create non-portable credentials that reduce interstate migration by 36% for affected workers compared to unlicensed occupations. A licensed plumber or electrician in Ohio, for example, faces months of re-testing, fees (\$500–\$1,500), and delays before working in Pennsylvania resulting in a "state-line tax" on labor supply. Recent longitudinal data (2017–2022) show regulatory restrictions have nearly tripled since 2019, disproportionately hitting lower-wage trades and widening inequality. Even universal recognition laws (adopted by only 34% of states) fall short, as full reciprocity remains rare. The net effect: reduced labor fluidity, higher consumer prices (via restricted supply), and delayed infrastructure projects (broadband, EV charging, data centers)

Non-compete agreements (and similar "Training Repayment Agreement Provisions") extend the legal bottleneck into blue-collar sectors. Though the FTC's 2024–2025 ban targeted broad use, enforcement gaps and loopholes persist. An estimated 18% of HVAC and electrical apprentices are bound by repayment clauses of \$5,000–\$15,000 if they switch employers within two years. Nationally, non-competes depress wages by roughly \$300 billion annually by limiting job-hopping—the primary driver of wage growth for most workers. In trades, they protect customer lists or proprietary knowledge, forcing workers to leave their city or state entirely to advance. This suppresses bargaining power and keeps underemployed tradespeople locked into suboptimal local jobs.

II. Physical/Geographic Restrictions: The Housing-Wage Trap

Even when legal barriers are absent, housing affordability creates an insurmountable physical bottleneck. In high-growth hubs (Phoenix, Austin, Columbus, Florida metros), trade job postings have surged 22%, but median rents and home prices have risen 30–50% faster than trade wages since 2020. A \$70,000–\$85,000 electrician or lineman salary in a booming market often cannot cover security deposits, first/last month's rent, and moving costs—totaling the \$5,000 entry fee that microgrants target. Workers remain stranded in low-demand rural or Rust Belt areas, accepting underemployment in unrelated low-wage sectors rather than risk financial ruin. This dynamic is self-reinforcing: shortages drive up wages in hubs, but unaffordable housing prevents supply from meeting demand.

Construction labor shortages alone cost the U.S. \$10.8 billion annually in project delays and lost housing production (19,000 fewer homes built). Immigrants (now 25–34% of the construction workforce) help fill gaps, but immigration restrictions and housing barriers limit their impact. The result is persistent underemployment among domestic tradespeople who cannot or will not relocate.

These mobility restrictions create a vicious cycle:

- Supply-side distortion: Certified workers cannot reach open positions → vacancies persist despite high wages and low overall unemployment.
- Wage and productivity drag: Reduced job-to-job mobility lowers earnings growth (non-competes alone cost workers ~3–4% in annual wage gains) and slows knowledge transfer.
- Multiplier effects: Every unfilled trade job ripples outward—delayed projects raise housing costs, infrastructure lags, and GDP losses compound. The projected \$325.6 billion annual GDP shortfall by 2030 (plus \$71.3 billion in lost taxes) is directly traceable to this mobility friction.

Qualitatively, workers describe supercommuting or early burnout rather than relocation, while employers report inability to surge labor across state lines for federal projects. The bottleneck is not a natural market outcome—it is policy-induced (licensing, weak reciprocity) and market-failure-induced (housing shortages).

Targeted interventions, such as \$5,000 microgrants for relocation expenses, licensing reciprocity reforms, and workforce housing incentives near industrial hubs, could dismantle this bottleneck. By enabling even 15–25% of underemployed tradespeople to move, the U.S. could fill hundreds of thousands of vacancies, unlock tens of billions in GDP, and convert artificial underemployment into genuine economic mobility.

Impact on Infrastructure: This delays federal projects (e.g., broadband expansion, EV charging networks) because contractors cannot easily surge labor across state lines.

Restriction Type	Economic Impact	Workforce Dynamic
Non-Competes	Wage stagnation	Reduces job-hopping, which is the #1 driver of wage growth.
Housing Costs	Labor shortages in hubs	Workers stay in low-growth zones, leading to underemployment.
Licensing	Project delays/Inflation	Artificially lowers the supply of legal labor, spiking consumer costs.
Immigration	Capacity constraints	Construction sector heavily relies on foreign-born labor; restrictions limit total output.

3.0 QUALITATIVE INSIGHTS

This section captures and summarizes the qualitative shifts in workforce behavior, specifically the intersection of generational transitions, mental health paradigms, and the evolving relationship between manual labor and Artificial Intelligence. We find that the trades are undergoing a social and psychological rebrand, transitioning from fallback options to high-security careers in an automated economy.

I. Institutional Knowledge and the Demographic Cliff

The most significant threat to the trades is not a lack of interest, but the failure of knowledge transfer. The industry is currently facing a Demographic Cliff that creates a functional bottleneck in economic output. Approximately 20% to 25% of the skilled trades workforce is aged 55 or older. As these Master level technicians retire, they take decades of diagnostic intuition that cannot be easily digitized or taught in a classroom.

For thirty years, the college-for-all narrative stigmatized vocational training. This resulted in a missing generation (primarily Millennials) in the trades. Today, we see a stark divide between the retiring Boomer experts and the incoming Gen Z apprentices, with very few mid-career journey-level workers to bridge the gap.

This demographic gap creates a physical bottleneck. Statutory requirements often mandate 1:1 or 2:1 apprentice-to-journeyman ratios. Without enough experienced mentors to oversee training, companies are forced to turn down contracts, not due to a lack of applicants, but due to a lack of legal supervision capacity.

II. Physical Labor in the Age of AI

Between 2024 and 2025, a profound shift in worker sentiment occurred. As Generative AI began to disrupt entry-level white-collar roles such as coding, copywriting, and data entry, physical trades

emerged as a bastion of AI-Resilience. Workers now view the crawlspace as a fortress against automation. AI can generate a blueprint, but it cannot navigate a flooded basement to repair a burst pipe or climb a utility pole during a storm.

Enrollment in vocational-technical programs increased by 16% in 2024–2025. This surge is driven by students prioritizing tangible skills over theoretical degrees specifically to mitigate the risk of AI-driven displacement. The modern tradesperson is no longer just a manual laborer; they are Digital-Physical operators. HVAC technicians now utilize thermal imaging via tablets, and automotive mechanics function as software technicians for EV firmware. This evolution has successfully rebranded the trades as high-tech, attracting a younger, more tech-savvy demographic.

III. The Social and Psychological Landscape

Despite high wages (frequently exceeding \$70,000+), qualitative data indicates that retention is heavily influenced by physical and mental well-being rather than just compensation.

Economic reports often overlook voluntary underemployment, where skilled tradespeople move to lower-paying retail or gig-work. Existing qualitative interviews reveal this is a strategy for health preservation against the physical toll of the industry (e.g., chronic back injuries and environmental exposure). The traditional grit-only culture is being replaced by a focus on sustainable work-life balance. Firms that have adapted to offer flexible scheduling or mental health support report 30% higher retention rates than those maintaining rigid, high-stress environments.

The following table summarizes the prevailing sentiments and their direct economic consequences as we move through the 2026 fiscal year.

Factor	Workforce Sentiment	Economic Consequence
AI Resilience	High Confidence	Higher enrollment in trade schools; lower turnover.
Aging Mentors	Anxiety/Stress	Longer project lead times; brain drain from the industry.
Work-Life Balance	High Priority	Skilled workers rejecting overtime, leading to labor shortages.
Social Prestige	Improving	Trade work is becoming cool and lucrative to Gen Z.

4.0 THE HIDDEN SKILLED WORKFORCE

The hidden skilled workforce represents a significant structural inefficiency in the United States economy, comprising approximately 1.2 to 2 million individuals who possess high-value technical certifications but remain employed in unrelated, low-wage sectors. This occupational mismatch, characterized by a 4.4% to 6% underemployment rate in the trades, is driven by a combination of regional labor market friction and insurmountable financial barriers. Scholarly research indicates that this misalignment affects nearly one-third of all job openings in the industrial sector, representing a profound loss of human capital.

The hidden skilled workforce is defined as certified professionals holding Department of Labor (DOL) or Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) recognized credentials who are trapped in the service or

gig economy, often earning 30% to 50% below their localized market potential. Harvard’s Joint Center for Housing Studies (JCHS) notes that the U.S. has approximately 1 million fewer construction workers than in 2007, yet a significant portion of that missing labor exists in the form of hidden workers who have exited the industry due to localized economic downturns or personal financial constraints. According to SHRM and Harvard Business School research, hidden workers are often filtered out by automated recruitment systems that prioritize recent experience over dormant certifications, further isolating these individuals from the high-wage roles for which they were trained.

I. Barriers to Entry

For a worker earning a mere low-wage income of \$25,000, the cost of transitioning to a high-demand trade hub is statistically insurmountable. The transition from low-wage employment to high-demand trade hubs is obstructed by a formidable "\$5,000 Gap" that functions as a structural barrier to labor mobility. This financial hurdle is comprised of three primary stressors: housing deposits, which create a poverty trap by exhausting the liquid assets of low-income earners; licensing and regulatory fees, which act as a regulatory wall that discourages interstate migration; and tool/equipment transport, a logistical burden unique to the physical nature of skilled labor. Together, these costs represent approximately 20% of the annual gross income for a worker earning \$25,000, effectively neutralizing the market's natural ability to correct labor shortages through internal migration.

- Housing Deposits: Security deposits and first month's rent in high-growth areas often exceed the total monthly take-home pay of a low-wage worker.
- Licensing and Regulatory Fees: Licensing requirements vary by state, reducing interstate mobility by an estimated 36%. For many, the cost of re-certification in a new jurisdiction is a regulatory wall.
- Logistics and Tool Transport: Unlike white-collar professionals, tradespeople must transport specialized equipment. The weight and volume of professional-grade tools make relocation significantly more expensive than standard residential moves.

Barrier	Cost Range	Qualitative Impact
Deposits	\$1,000 – \$2,000	Creates a "Poverty Trap" preventing exit from low-wage cycles.
Fees	\$500 – \$1,500	Functions as a "Regulatory Wall" that disincentivizes migration.
Transport	\$1,000 – \$2,000	A logistical hurdle unique to the "Physical-Digital" workforce.

II. The "Brain Drain" Effect vs. The "Brain Gain" Opportunity

The stagnation of the hidden workforce (those individuals who are underemployed, discouraged, or geographically isolated from opportunity) is not merely a personal setback. It represents a systemic economic drag on origin communities and a missed opportunity for high-growth regions. By

examining the dual forces of "Brain Drain" and "Brain Gain," we can quantify the cost of inaction and the exponential value of labor mobility.

The Social and Structural Costs of Stagnation

When human capital remains trapped in regions with low labor demand, the economic consequences are both immediate and compounding. This stagnation manifests as a permanent loss of potential when talent leaves and when talent is unable to move.

Macroeconomic GDP Loss: The scale of this inefficiency is immense. The Joint Economic Committee (JEC) estimates that labor stagnation cost the U.S. economy between \$50 billion and \$100 billion annually. This figure accounts for lost productivity, diminished tax bases, and the underutilization of specialized skills in stagnant markets.

The Cycle of Welfare Reliance: Prolonged underemployment acts as a leading indicator for clinical depression and deteriorating physical health. As individuals remain disconnected from the workforce, they often transition from being potential tax contributors to being reliant on social safety nets. This shift increases the fiscal burden on already struggling municipalities, creating a downward spiral of community-level social segregation.

Skill Atrophy: Human capital is a perishable resource. For every year a skilled worker remains outside their primary field, their professional efficacy diminishes, leading to a permanent reduction in their lifetime earning potential and a thinning of the national talent pool.

The Relocation Multiplier: Unlocking "Brain Gain"

Facilitating the migration of hidden workers from low-demand to high-demand regions does not simply empty out one area to benefit another. Instead, it triggers a sophisticated Brain Gain cycle that provides a net positive impact on both the destination and the origin.

The Remittance and Investment Effect: Internal migration often mirrors international patterns of financial flow. Workers who relocate to high-wage hubs frequently send a portion of their increased earnings back to their home communities. Research indicates that these internal remittances create an economic multiplier of 1.5x to 2x in the origin region. These funds are typically spent on local services, housing, and debt repayment, stimulating the very economies that the workers departed.

The Educational Incentive: The prospect of migration creates a powerful incentive for those remaining in the home community to invest in their own education. A notable study by the Yale Economic Growth Center (EGC) illustrates this phenomenon: for every one nurse who migrates to a higher-paying region, an estimated nine new students are incentivized to seek nursing education in the origin community, hoping to eventually follow a similar path. This aspiration effect raises the overall literacy, skill level, and human capital of the origin region, even if only a fraction of those students eventually relocate.

Knowledge Transfer and Network Expansion: Relocated workers serve as bridgeheads. They provide professional networks, mentorship, and industry insights back to their home regions. This

creates a pipeline of innovation and information that can eventually lead to the establishment of satellite industries in the origin community, fueled by the expertise of those who moved away.

The following table summarizes the divergent paths of labor stagnation versus strategic relocation:

Feature	Costs of Stagnation (Inaction)	Benefits of Relocation (Action)
Economic Performance	GDP Drag; Depressed Tax Base; Localized Poverty	Remittances; Regional GDP Growth; High-Wage Returns
Social Dynamics	Social Isolation; Increased Welfare Reliance	Professional Networks; Community Aspiration
Labor Market	Permanent Skill Atrophy; Labor Shortages	"Brain Gain"; Skill Recirculation; Market Equilibrium
Long-term Outlook	Community Decline and Segregation	Increased Human Capital and Global Competitiveness

Strategic Policy Recommendations for "Brain Gain"

To transition from a state of economic stagnation to a high-mobility "Brain Gain" model, policy interventions must focus on lowering the barriers to entry for the hidden workforce. The following recommendations aim to catalyze regional growth and reduce the \$100 billion annual productivity gap.

- Portable Benefit and Relocation Tax Credits: One of the primary inhibitors of labor mobility is the high sunk cost of moving.
 - Recommendation: Implement Relocation Tax Credits specifically targeted at the "hidden workforce" (those in the bottom 25th percentile of income or long-term unemployed).
 - Impact: By offsetting the physical costs of moving and security deposits, the government can trigger the 1.5x to 2x remittance multiplier in origin communities much faster.
- Skill-Based Bridge Certifications: Hidden workers often possess adjacent skills that do not perfectly align with high-growth job descriptions.
 - Recommendation: Fund Micro-Credentialing Programs that bridge the gap between a worker's current skill set and the requirements of high-demand sectors (e.g., transitioning a rural manufacturing worker into a logistics coordinator for an urban tech hub).
 - Impact: This reduces the Skill Atrophy and ensures that Brain Gain results in immediate productivity upon arrival.
- Scaling the Aspiration Effect via Satellite Education: To capitalize on the Yale EGC finding where one migrant incentivizes nine others to study, regions must have the infrastructure to support that surge in interest.
 - Recommendation: Establish Regional Skill Hubs in origin communities that are digitally linked to employers in high-growth destination cities.

- **Impact:** This creates a talent pipeline where the origin community becomes a specialized exporter of high-value labor, rather than a site of "Brain Drain" and despair.
- **Digital Remittance Infrastructure:** To ensure that the economic gains of relocation flow back to the origin communities effectively, the friction of transferring wealth must be minimized.
 - **Recommendation:** Support the development of low-fee digital payment corridors and local investment bonds that allow relocated workers to invest directly back into their hometown's infrastructure or small businesses.

The transition from stagnation to mobility can be visualized as a phased approach to market equilibrium:

Phase	Action	Primary Goal
Phase 1: Activation	Identify underutilized talent pools and provide relocation vouchers.	Immediate reduction in welfare reliance.
Phase 2: Integration	Rapid upskilling and placement in high-growth hubs.	Maximize GDP contribution and tax revenue.
Phase 3: Recirculation	Facilitate remittances and knowledge transfer to origin regions.	Create the "Brain Gain" multiplier effect.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NON-PROFIT MOBILITY MICROGRANTS

To address systemic labor mismatches in the U.S. skilled trades that are characterized by labor surpluses in the Rust Belt and critical shortages in high-growth sectors like Florida's energy industry, non-profit organizations should establish Targeted Mobility Microgrant Programs. These initiatives would provide \$5,000 grants to facilitate relocation for underemployed workers with verified skills specialized tools and equipment.

By enabling relocation across all Department of Labor (DOL) trade categories, these microgrants can activate the hidden workforce estimated at 1.2 to 2 million certified professionals currently underemployed in unrelated sectors, and convert them into high-productivity contributors.

Program Structure: The microgrants are designed to cover essential point-of-entry expenses to ensure a seamless transition into high-demand markets:

- **Moving and Logistics Support:** This addresses the unique burden on tradespeople, such as machinists relocating heavy precision tools from stagnant manufacturing hubs (e.g., Ohio or Indiana) to the Battery Belt (Georgia and South Carolina) or Florida's energy infrastructure projects.
- **Housing and Initial Setup:** Targets the housing-wage trap in high-growth areas where median rents have outpaced wage growth by 30–50% since 2020.
- **Licensing Reciprocity and Fees:** Mitigates the state-line tax that currently reduces interstate migration by up to 36%. This allows electricians (facing a 13.4% demand surge in Florida) or welders (facing a national deficit of 584,000) to transfer credentials without month-long administrative delays.

Grants should be released in two phases: 50% upon relocation commitment and the remaining 50% upon verification of employment in the target region. To maximize the Return on Investment (ROI), eligibility should prioritize workers in surplus or declining regions:

- Verified Skills in DOL Categories: Applicants must hold active credentials in BLS-recognized trades, such as Welders (NAICS 332312) or Wind Turbine Technicians (NAICS 811310).
- Underemployment Status: Priority is given to those earning below \$25,000 annually or those in regions with flat production growth, such as Rust Belt industrial sectors.
- Strategic Relocation Intent: Commitment to move to "shortage" states, including Florida (Energy/Electricians), Texas (Industrial/EV Production), or Arizona (Construction)
- Equity and Inclusion: Programs must include targeted outreach to women (currently 14.5% of the construction workforce) and immigrants (25–34% of construction labor) to ensure the trades remain an engine of equitable upward mobility.

I. Partnerships and Implementation

Non-profits should leverage a multi-stakeholder ecosystem to scale the program:

Partner Type	Role in Ecosystem	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)
Employers	Provide job guarantees or matching funds; reduce vacancy risks in high-growth roles like solar installers (42% projected growth).	Placement Rate: % of trainees hired within 90 days; Retention Rate: Employee longevity after 1 year.
State Governments	States like Utah (CTE investment leader) or Alabama (2.8% unemployment) can co-fund grants to attract necessary talent.	Funding Leverage: Ratio of state dollars to private investment; Labor Participation: Increase in regional employment.
Federal Agencies	Align with Infrastructure Act initiatives to support projects in broadband, EV charging, and grid modernization.	Grant Compliance: Adherence to federal milestones; Equity Metrics: % of funding reaching disadvantaged communities.

II. Projected Economic Impact

Scaling this program to 500,000 relocations by 2030 could fill up to 25% of the projected 2.5 million unfilled trade positions.

- GDP Growth: Closing the skilled trades gap has the potential to unlock \$325.6 billion in national GDP.
- Cost-Benefit Ratio: At an estimated program cost of \$2.5 billion, the economic return—through increased tax revenue and reduced welfare reliance—far outweighs the initial investment.
- The Multiplier Effect: Relocations trigger a "Brain Gain" for high-growth cities while providing a 1.5x–2x economic return via remittances back to origin communities.

III. Advocacy Roadmap

Beyond direct funding, non-profits should advocate for:

- Universal Licensing Reciprocity: Eliminating the "regulatory wall" that currently limits mobility in 66% of U.S. states.
- Federal Relocation Tax Credits: Lobbying for tax incentives that mirror the benefits once common in corporate relocation packages.
- Workforce Housing: Supporting the development of affordable "industrial-adjacent" housing to lower the barrier for incoming tradespeople.

REFERENCES

Associated Builders and Contractors. (2026, January). ABC: All but 5 states had construction unemployment rates below 8% in December 2025 [News release]. <https://www.abc.org/News-Media/News-Releases/abc-all-but-5-states-had-construction-unemployment-rates-below-8-in-december-2025>

Associated Builders and Contractors. (2026). ABC: Construction industry must attract 349,000 workers in 2026 despite macroeconomic headwinds [News release]. <https://www.abc.org/News-Media/News-Releases/abc-construction-industry-must-attract-349000-workers-in-2026-despite-macroeconomic-headwind>

Aston Carter. (2025, December). December 2025 labor market analysis. <https://www.astoncarter.com/en/insights/labor-reports/december-2025-labor-market-analysis>

Bring Back the Trades Inc. (2026, February 5). Closing the skilled trades gap could unlock \$325.6 billion in GDP nationwide [Press release]. <https://bringbackthetrades.org/press-release/closing-the-skilled-trades-gap-could-unlock-325-6-billion-in-gdp-nationwide/>

Bring Back the Trades Inc., & F.W. Webb Company. (2026, February 5). Closing the skilled trades gap could unlock \$325.6 billion in GDP nationwide. Yahoo Finance. <https://finance.yahoo.com/news/closing-skilled-trades-gap-could-113000419.html>

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (n.d.). Employment projections. U.S. Department of Labor. <https://www.bls.gov/emp/>

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (n.d.). Employment situation summary tables [Table 14]. U.S. Department of Labor. <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/empst14.htm>

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (n.d.). Fastest growing occupations. U.S. Department of Labor. <https://www.bls.gov/ooh/fastest-growing.htm>

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (n.d.). Local area unemployment statistics. U.S. Department of Labor. <https://www.bls.gov/web/metro/laummtrk.htm>

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (n.d.). Metropolitan area unemployment rates. U.S. Department of Labor. <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/laus.nr0.htm>

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (n.d.). Occupational outlook handbook: Construction and extraction occupations. U.S. Department of Labor. <https://www.bls.gov/ooh/construction-and-extraction/>

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2021). Employed persons by detailed industry and age [Table 18b]. <https://www.bls.gov/cps/cpsaat18b.htm>

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2026, February). The employment situation — January 2026 [News release]. U.S. Department of Labor. <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/empst.pdf>

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2026, February 13). Total nonfarm payroll employment up by 130,000 in January 2026. The Economics Daily. U.S. Department of Labor. <https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2026/total-nonfarm-payroll-employment-up-by-130000-in-january-2026.htm>

Caprelo. (n.d.). How much is the average relocation package? What is included? <https://www.caprelo.com/insights-resources/program-administration/how-much-is-the-average-relocation-package-what-is-included/>

Conference Board. (n.d.). Labor shortages threaten economic growth [Solutions brief]. <https://www.conference-board.org/research/solutions-briefs/labor-shortages-threaten-economic-growth>

Congressional Research Service. (2022, March 2). Occupational licensing: Economic issues and contemporary policy (Report No. R47059). <https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/R47059>

Economic Policy Institute. (2022). The U.S.-born labor force will shrink over the next decade. <https://www.epi.org/publication/the-u-s-born-labor-force-will-shrink-over-the-next-decade-achieving-historically-normal-gdp-growth-rates-will-be-impossible-unless-immigration-flows-are-sustained/>

Enterprise Community Partners. (n.d.). Innovations tackle labor shortages and high housing costs. <https://www.enterprisecommunity.org/story/innovations-tackle-labor-shortages-and-high-housing-costs>

Finance & Commerce. (2026, February). Skilled trades, AI, and job market shift. <https://finance-commerce.com/2026/02/skilled-trades-ai-job-market-shift/>

Forbes Advisor. (2025, October 21). Unemployment rates by state. <https://www.forbes.com/advisor/personal-finance/unemployment-rates-by-state-10-21-25/>

Ghimire, R., & Ferreira, S. (2025). Human capital and economic growth. Nature Communications. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-025-67992-y>

Harvard Business School Managing the Future of Work Project. (2021, September 3). Hidden workers [Research report]. <https://www.hbs.edu/managing-the-future-of-work/Documents/research/hiddenworkers09032021.pdf>

Joint Center for Housing Studies. (2025). Improving America's housing 2025. Harvard University. https://www.jchs.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/reports/files/Harvard_JCHS_Improving_Americas_Housing_2025.pdf

Joint Economic Committee Republicans. (2019, April 24). Losing our minds: Brain drain across the United States. <https://www.jec.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/republicans/2019/4/losing-our-minds-brain-drain-across-the-united-states>

Johnson, T., & Smith, L. (2023). Occupational licensing and occupational mobility (Working Paper No. 23-14). Federal Reserve Bank of Boston. <https://www.bostonfed.org/publications/research-department-working-paper/2023/occupational-licensing-and-occupational-mobility.aspx>

Kleiner, M. M., & Xu, M. (2023). Is occupational licensing a barrier to interstate migration? (Staff Report). Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis. <https://www.minneapolisfed.org/research/staff-reports/is-occupational-licensing-a-barrier-to-interstate-migration>

Mani, S., et al. (2025). The impact of AI on specialized labor markets. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-025-04497-5>

Maurer, R. (2026, February 11). U.S. payrolls rose more than expected in January. SHRM. <https://www.shrm.org/topics-tools/news/talent-acquisition/bls-hr-jobs-unemployment-feb-2026>

McKinsey & Company. (n.d.). Empowering the U.S. workforce. <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/public-sector/our-insights/empowering-the-us-workforce>

McKinsey & Company. (n.d.). Tradespeople wanted: The need for critical trade skills in the U.S. <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/tradespeople-wanted-the-need-for-critical-trade-skills-in-the-us>

National Bureau of Economic Research. (2018). Occupational licensing and labor market dynamics (NBER Working Paper No. 24107). <https://www.nber.org/papers/w24107>

Papadopoulos, N. (2024). Hidden and persistent unemployment among older workers. Schwartz Center for Economic Policy Analysis. <https://www.economicpolicyresearch.org/research/hidden-and-persistent-unemployment-among-older-workers>

Perna, M. C. (2026, February 24). In an AI world, the skilled trades are increasingly alluring. Forbes. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/markcperna/2026/02/24/in-an-ai-world-the-skilled-trades-are-increasingly-alluring/>

Plumbing & Mechanical. (n.d.). New research shows 25% of key trade jobs will be vacant by 2030. <https://www.pmmag.com/articles/107192-new-research-shows-25-of-key-trade-jobs-will-be-vacant-by-2030>

Robert Half. (2026, February). December 2025 jobs report: Employers add 50,000 jobs. <https://www.roberthalf.com/us/en/insights/research/december-2025-jobs-report-employers-add-50000-jobs>

Schwartz, A. (2024). Occupational licensing reduces interstate mobility. Manhattan Institute. <https://manhattan.institute/article/occupational-licensing-reduces-interstate-mobility>

U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2022). Occupational licensing and interstate migration in the United States. Monthly Labor Review. <https://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/2022/article/occupational-licensing-and-interstate-migration-in-the-united-states.htm>

U.S. Chamber of Commerce. (n.d.). The states suffering most from the labor shortage.
<https://www.uschamber.com/workforce/the-states-suffering-most-from-the-labor-shortage>

U.S. Department of the Treasury. (2016, March). Non-compete contracts: Economic effects and policy implications.
[https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/226/Non Compete Contracts Economic Effects and Policy Implications MAR2016.pdf](https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/226/Non_Compete_Contracts_Economic_Effects_and_Policy_Implications_MAR2016.pdf)

Yale Economic Growth Center. (n.d.). Brain drain or brain gain? New research identifies more nuanced story. <https://egc.yale.edu/research/brain-drain-or-brain-gain-new-research-identifies-more-nuanced-story-about-skilled-migration>